Exercises on Intuitionistic and Modal Logics

David Pearce

Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (Madrid, Spain)

1.

1. Prove by natural deduction the following theorems of intuitionistic logic H:

$$\neg \neg \neg \varphi \to \neg \varphi$$
$$(\varphi \to \psi) \to (\neg \psi \to \neg \varphi)$$
$$(\varphi \to \psi) \to (\neg \neg \varphi \to \neg \neg \psi)$$

2. Prove the "monotonicity" property for Kripke models for H, is that for any model $\langle W, \leq , i \rangle$ and formula φ :

$$\varphi \in i(w)$$
 and $w \leq w' \Rightarrow \varphi \in i(w')$.

3. Show by counter-models that the following are not theorems of H (hint: use "fork" models):

$$\neg \neg \varphi \lor \neg \varphi$$
$$\neg (\varphi \land \psi) \to (\neg \varphi \lor \neg \psi)$$

- 4. Use Kripke models for the logic of here-and-there to show that the formulas of question 3 are theorems in here-and-there.
- 5. In constructive logic N with strong negation, show that $\neg \varphi =_{def} \varphi \rightarrow \sim \varphi$ is a correct definition. Prove the following:

$$\vdash \varphi \leftrightarrow \sim \sim \varphi$$
$$\vdash \sim (\varphi \land \psi) \leftrightarrow (\sim \varphi \lor \sim \psi)$$
$$\vdash (\varphi \to \psi) \to (\sim \psi \to \sim \varphi)$$

6. 1. Prove completeness for S4 by showing that the canonical model is reflexive and transitive

2. Prove completeness for B by showing that the canonical model is reflexive and symmetrical

3. Prove completeness for D by showing that the canonical model has a serial accessibility relation

- 7. Check whether $(\alpha \to \beta) \to (\sim \beta \to \sim \alpha)$ is a theorem of here-and-there with strong negation, N_5 . If not, provide a counter-model or counter-assignment.
- 8. Prove the "supportedness" property of disjunctive logic programs under answer set semantics, ie the property that for any program Π if a literal L belongs to an answer set Sof Π , then there is some rule r in Π such that L belongs to the head of r and the body of r is satisfied by S.